



PHILIP MORRIS

INCORPORATED

LAW DEPARTMENT
PATENT SECTION

P.O. BOX 26583, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

(804) 274-2822
TELEX 827339

30 January 1984

Mr. Philip K. Holland
Ridout & Maybee
2300 Richmond-Adelaide Centre
101 Richmond Street West
Toronto, Canada M5H 2J7

Dear Mr. Holland:

RE: Flavor-Release Beta-Hydroxy-Ester Compositions
PM 1019 - Canadian Application 397,654

If we must choose between Claims 1 and 13, Claim 1 would be preferred.

We entirely agree to --compound-- in place of "composition" in the claims in question.

You certainly appear to have a sound argument to uphold the claims to compositions (smoking products) as well as to compounds. We hope you are successful in maintaining this position.

As to Claims 26-33, we cannot provide any characterizing data to support those compounds. If that means canceling those claims, so be it.

No other prior art has been cited in U.S. prosecution. Under a requirement for election, Claims 15-33 have been canceled for later divisional filing and Claims 1-14 have been found allowable.

Very truly yours,

G. Esler Inskeep

cc: Dr. T. Osdene

1000082673

of amide will be a new product
of the same type as the one in the

of the same type as the one in the
of the same type as the one in the

of the same type as the one in the

T. S. OSDENE

JAN 31 1984

1000082674